Case 3:15-cv-00971-M-	BK Document 2	0 Filed 05/08/15	5 Page	1 of 2 PageID 74
		<b>[</b>	NADA.	U.S. DISTRICT COURT HERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
			NORI	FILED
IN TI	HE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT O	COURT	A SECONDARY MAN PART AND A SECONDARY MAN PART AND A SECONDARY MAN
FOR	THE NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF	TEXAS	MAN 8 2015
DALLAS DIVISION				MMI
TOTAL TOTAL		0	}	ERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
LOU TYLER,	TOT 1 (100	§	CL!	
	Plaintiff,	§	) by	Deputy
		§	, <u> </u>	na Armain Anna ann an Armain
		Š		
v. § 3		:15-CV-(	00971-M-BK	
		§		
OCWEN LOAN SERVIC	ES LLC et al.,	§		
	Defendants.	§		
		§		

## ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge made Findings, Conclusions, and a Recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that this case is **DISMISSED** without prejudice as duplicative of a pending action. Plaintiff's motion to appoint counsel [Doc. 19] is **DENIED** as moot.

The Court prospectively **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this certification, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation. See <u>Baugh v. Taylor</u>, 117 F.3d 197, 202 and n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings and Recommendation, the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous.

Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983). In the event of an appeal, Plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. See <u>Baugh</u>, 117 F.3d at 202; FED. R. APP. P. 24(a)(5).

SO ORDERED this day of May, 2015.

BARBARA M. G. KYNN

LINITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 4(a)</u> governs the time to appeal an order. A timely notice of appeal must be filed even if the district court certifies an appeal as not taken in good faith.